	Case 8	:15-n	nj-000	68-DUTY Document	11 Filed 02/25/15	Page 1 of 5 Page ID #:36				
1					FUEL) - SOUTHERN DIVISION				
2		CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT								
3						FEB 2 5 2015				
4		CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY								
5		BY DEPUTY)								
6					January and Alexander					
7										
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT									
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA									
10		SOUTHERN DIVISION								
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,			OF AMERICA,) Case No. SA	15-0068M				
12				Plaintiff,	ORDER OF D	ETENTION				
13				v.	}					
14	ALMA	ALMA EDITH LETONA,								
15	1 112,1717 1									
16				Defendant.	}					
17		-			_}					
18										
19					I.					
20	A. (()	On m	notion of the Governme	ent in a case allegedl	y involving:				
21		1.	()	a crime of violence.						
22		2.	()			e imprisonment or death.				
23]	3.	()	a narcotics or control	led substance offens	e with maximum sentence of				
24				ten years or more.						
25	4	4.	()		efendant convicted o	f two or more prior offenses				
26				described above.						
27	///									
28	///									

	Case	e 8:15-n	nj-00068-DUTY Document 11 Filed 02/25/15 Page 2 of 5 Page ID #:37					
1		5.	() any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a					
2			minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or					
3			any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §					
4			2250.					
5	B.	(X)	n motion by the Government/() on Court's own motion, in a case					
6	1		allegedly involving:					
7		(X)	X) On the further allegation by the Government of:					
8			1. (X) a serious risk that the defendant will flee.					
9			2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:					
10			a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.					
11			b. () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or					
12			juror, or attempt to do so.					
13	C.	The C	e Government () is/(X) is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no					
14		condi	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's					
15		appea	appearance as required and the safety or any person or the community.					
16								
17			П.					
18	A.	(X)	The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will					
19			reasonably assure:					
20		1.	(X) the appearance of the defendant as required.					
21			(X) and/or					
22		2.	(X) the safety of any person or the community.					
23	B.	()	The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to					
24			the contrary the presumption provided by statute.					
25								
26	111							
27	///							
28	///							

III.

1

3

4

5

.....

2 | The Court has considered:

A. (X) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive

6 7

B. (X) the weight of the evidence against the defendant;

8

C.

(X) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and

9

D. (X) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community.

10

IV.

12

13

11

The Court also has considered all the evidence presented at the hearing and the arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services Report/recommendation

14

 \mathbb{V} .

1516

The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:

17

18

19

A. (X) As to flight risk:

device;

20212223

In making the determination whether conditions exist that would reasonably assure a defendant's appearance, this Court takes into account the four statutory factors: (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense charged; (2) the weight of the evidence against the person; (3) the history and characteristics of the person, including his character, physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning appearance at court proceedings; and (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or community that would be posed by the person's release. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g). The Court has discretion as to the weight to be accorded to each of these

2728

24

25

26

factors. The Court finds that by a preponderance of the evidence there are no

	Case	e 8:15-mj-00068-DUTY Document 11 Filed 02/25/15 Page 4 of 5 Page ID #:39									
1		conditions or combination of conditions that would reasonably assure Defendant's									
2		appearance as required. The charged offense involved the use of a false name, bogus									
3		emails, and at least one "straw" bank account to carry out the fraudulent scheme.									
4		Defendant's history includes the use of multiple identifiers in the form of aliases and									
5	two driver's license numbers. Defendant has a lengthy criminal history that includes										
6		numerous fraud-related offenses. She has previously violated the terms of probation.									
7		There is also an outstanding warrant for her arrest from the state of Oregon. Finally,									
8		it appears from the government's proffer that Defendant took affirmative steps to									
9		avoid arrest in this matter, such as changing cellular telephones and denying her									
10		identity to the arresting agent.									
11											
12	B.	() As to danger:									
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18		VI.									
19	A.	() The Court finds that a serious risk exists the defendant will:									
20		1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.									
21		2. () attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.									
22	B.	The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:									
23											
24											
25											
26											
27											
28											
l											